From: Philip Mudd
To: Danny Mapili - WPD-C
Cc: Terry Lamb Sr.; Todd Williams; tony.lopacki@gsa.gov; Hallie Futterman - WPIA
Subject: RE: UNICOR and consolidated appropriations act
Date: Tuesday, March 29, 2016 3:51:21 PM

Do you or does Perkins & Will have historical information regarding why we are not going with UNICOR?

From: Danny Mapili - WPD-C [mailto:danny.mapili@gsa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2016 2:10 PM
To: Philip Mudd
Cc: Terry Lamb Sr.; Todd Williams; tony.lopacki@gsa.gov; Hallie Futterman - WPIA
Subject: Re: UNICOR and consolidated appropriations act

Philip,
This will be a FERC write up. Furniture is a personal property. Thanks.

On Tuesday, March 29, 2016, Philip Mudd <Philip.Mudd@ferc.gov> wrote:
To what extent, have we considered UNICOR for the entire project?
We need to write a document for the file In sufficient detail why we are not going with UNICOR? With respect to price, quality, and time of delivery etc

8.602 -- Policy.

(a) In accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2410n and Section 637 of Division H of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (Pub. L. 108-447)(18 U.S.C. 4124 note), and except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, agencies shall--

(1) Before purchasing an item of supply listed in the FPI Schedule, conduct market research to determine whether the FPI item is comparable to supplies available from the private sector that best meet the Government's needs in terms of price, quality, and time of delivery. This is a unilateral determination made at the discretion of the contracting officer. The arbitration provisions of 18 U.S.C. 4124(b) do not apply;

(2) Prepare a written determination that includes supporting rationale explaining the assessment of price, quality, and time of delivery, based on the results of market research comparing the FPI item to supplies available from the private sector;

--
Thx,

Danny Mapili
Sent from GSA Gmail Mobile